



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 42390.P13766 3565 10/040,755 12/28/2001 David Harriman **EXAMINER** 7590 03/30/2004 BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M Seventh Floor PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 2665

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/040,755	HARRIMAN, DAVID	
	יין	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Justin M Philpott	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t If the period for reply specified above is less that If NO period for reply is specified above, the mai Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.3	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply ximum statutory period wi for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <i>08 Ja</i>	nuarv 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.				
•				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,8,10,11,13 and 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,8,10,11,13 and 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdraw I. <u>nd 15</u> is/are reject d to.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to	o by the Examiner	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
-	e of: priority documents priority documents propies of the priori pernational Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
<u>.</u>				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:				

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed January 8, 2004 with respect to the newly amended claims 1, 6 and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 3, 5, 8, 10, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claim 3 recites the apparatus of claim 2, claim 5 recites the apparatus of claim 4, claim 8 recites the apparatus of claim 7, claim 10 recites the apparatus of claim 9, claim 13 recites the system of claim 12, and claim 15 recites the system of claim 14. However, claims 2, 4, 7, 9, 12 and 14 have been canceled by applicant in the Amendment filed January 8, 2004. Thus, claims 3, 5, 8, 10, 13 and 15 depend upon canceled claims. Therefore, there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitations in these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 2665

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,515,967 to Wei et al.

Regarding claims 1, 6 and 11, Wei teaches an apparatus comprising a data path output unit to output a packet header for a message request transaction with the packet header including: a format field (e.g., combination of 601 and 615 in FIG. 6) to indicate the packet header (e.g., version 601) and further to specify whether the packet is to include data (e.g., MRM message length 615 indicates the length of the message area 511 comprising data, or the amount of data, which implicitly indicates whether the message area includes any data), a subset of a type field (e.g., at least one bit of type 603) to indicate a message request transaction (e.g., see col. 7, lines 38-45), and a message group sub-field (e.g., within MRM sequence number 625) separate from the format field (e.g., combination of 601 and 615) and separate from the type field (e.g., at least one bit of type 603) to indicate one of a plurality of message groups (e.g., see col. 8, lines 8-35 wherein the sequence number corresponds to a specific one of a plurality of message groups G1, G2, etc.).

Further, while Wei may not specifically disclose the format field indicates the length of the packet header, Wei further discloses the format field (e.g., by version 601) specifies the version of the header (e.g., see col. 7, lines 15-38). That is, while Wei teaches a preferable embodiment of a header comprises a standard MRM protocol having five 32-bit words, additionally, Wei discloses that the header 509 may follow other protocols (e.g., see col. 7, lines 19-20), whereby the version 601 would indicate a specific protocol implicitly having a specific length. Still further, Wei teaches that a field 613 comprises bits which may or may not be used

Art Unit: 2665

(e.g., see col. 7, lines 55-57) and a field 619 is used to describe the length of a message in another field 613 within the packet header (e.g., see col. 8, lines 1-3), implying that headers of varied size may be utilized. Thus, Wei clearly implies that the format field (e.g., by version 601) indicates the length of the packet header by teaching that the header 509 may follow other protocols, implicitly having specific lengths, whereby the version 601 determines which protocol the header follows, and further by disclosing header fields may or may not be used and that the length of messages in the header may vary (e.g., see col. 7, line 55 – col. 8, line 3).

Regarding claims 3, 8 and 13, Wei teaches the packet header includes a message code field (e.g., code 605).

Regarding claims 5, 10 and 15, Wei teaches the message group sub-field (e.g., within MRM sequence number 625) includes bit(s) of: a type field (e.g., see col. 8, lines 9-15 regarding identifying the MRM message comprising sender/receiver requests, etc.), and an extended type field (e.g., see col. 8, lines 24-27 regarding the sequence number identifying specifically which "request" is responsible). While Wei may not specifically disclose the message group sub-field is exactly three-bits comprising one bit from the type field and two bits from the extended type field, it is generally considered to be within the ordinary skill in the art to adjust, vary, select or optimize the numerical parameters or values of any system absent a showing of criticality in a particular recited value. The burden of showing criticality is on Appellant. In re Mason, 87 F.2d 370, 32 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1937); Marconi Wireless Telegraph Co. v. U.S., 320 U.S. 1, 57 USPQ 471 (1943); In re Schneider, 148 F.2d 108, 65 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1945); In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955); In re Saether, 492 F.2d 849, 181 USPQ 36 (CCPA 1974); In re Antonie, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977); In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205

Art Unit: 2665

USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to construct the message group sub-field with three-bits comprising one bit from the type field and two bits from the extended type field since it is generally considered to be within the ordinary skill in the art to adjust, vary, select or optimize the numerical parameters or values of any system absent a showing of criticality in a particular recited value.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin M Philpott whose telephone number is 703.305.7357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 2665

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on 703.308.6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Justin M Philpott

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600